## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 EC BRU 07666 021909Z

70

**ACTION EUR-25** 

INFO OCT-01 EA-11 NEA-14 IO-14 ISO-00 AGR-20 CEA-02

CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 EB-11 FRB-03 H-03 INR-11

INT-08 L-03 LAB-06 NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01 AID-20

CIEP-03 SS-20 STR-08 TAR-02 TRSE-00 USIA-15 PRS-01

SP-03 OMB-01 SWF-02 FEA-02 DRC-01 /222 W

----- 104857

R 021817Z OCT 74

FM USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7559

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY ISLAMABAD

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY SEOUL

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

AMCONSUL HONK KONG

USMISSION GENEVA

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE EC BRUSSELS 7666

E.O. 11652: NA TAGS: ETRD, GATT

SUBJECT: EC TEXTILE NEGOTIATIONS

REF: GENEVA 6067

1. SUMMARY: THE EC COMMISSION HOPES TO OBTAIN A MANDATE FROM THE EC COUNCIL SOON ENOUGH TO PERMIT TEXTILE NEGOTIATIONS TO BEGIN BEFORE CHRISTMAS. ITS CHANCES OF DOING SO DEPEND HEAVILY ON GETTING PRE-LIMINARY AGREEMENT TO ITS PROPOSALS AT A MEETING OF LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 EC BRU 07666 021909Z

THE COMMITTEE OF PERMANENT REPRE-

SENTATIVES (COREPER) FRIDAY, OCT 4. END SUMMARY.

- 2. WE HAVE DISCUSSED THE COMMUNITY'S PROBLEM IN GETTING ITS TEXTILE NEGOTIATIONS STARTED WITH A COMMISSION OFFICIAL AND A PERMDEL SOURCE. THIS REPORT IS BASED ON THEIR ESSENTIALLY CORRABORATIVE STATEMENTS.
- 3. THE COMMISSION ON MARCH 31 ASKED THE COUNCIL FOR A MANDATE TO NEGOTIATE WITH FIVE LEADING TEXTILE EXPORTERS. SINCE THEN IT HAS BEEN FLESHING OUT ITS IDEAS REGARDING THE AGREEMENTS TO BE NEGOTIATED WITH THESE COUNTRIES, BEGINNING WITH INDIA AND PAKISTAN. IT HAS ALSO BEEN WORKING ON AN OVERALL PROGRAM RE-GARDING EC TEXTILE IMPORTS. THE CORE OF THE COMMISSION'S PROBLEM, BOTH OUR SOURCES AGREED, IS TO GET THE AGREEMENT OF COUNTRIES WHICH UP TO NOW HAVE IMPORTED VERY SMALL QUANTITIES OF TEXTILES FROM ASIAN SOURCES, SUCH AS ITALY, TO ACCEPT A GREATER SHARE OF THE TOTAL VOLUME OF EC TEXTILE IMPORTS. THIS TOTAL VOLUME WILL ITSELF BE INCREASING. THE COMMISSION PRO-POSALS. ARRIVED AT BY APPARENTLY COMPLEX MATHEMATICAL MODELS, THEREFORE MEAN THAT COUNTRIES, LIKE THE UK AND GERMANY, WHICH HAVE UP TO NOW BEEN RELATIVELY LIBERAL, WILL HAVE TO AGREE TO MODERATELY INCREASING IMPORTS. WHILE THOSE AT THE OTHER END OF THE RANGE. ESPECIALLY ITALY, FACE THE PROSPECT OF POTENTIALLY SHARP INCREASES, IN RELATIVE TERMS.
- 3. THE COMMISSION'S PROPOSALS HAVE UP TO NOW HAD HARD SLEDDING. THEY HAVE BEEN CONSIDERED AT ONE COREPER MEETING, AND SENT BACK FOR RECONSIDERATIONS, POSSIBLY LARGELY DUE TO THEIR COMPLICATION. WORSENING CONDITIONS IN THE TEXTILE INDUSTRY IN SEVERAL MEMBER STATES HAVE ADDED TO THE PROBLEM.
- 4. THE COMMISSION YESTERDAY CONSULTED REPRESENTATIVES OF THE COMMUNITY TEXTILE INDSUTRY. ON FRIDAY, OCT 4, IT WILL BE GOING BACK TO THE COREPER (WHICH WILL BE MEETING AT THE LEVEL OF DEPUTIES). BOTH LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 EC BRU 07666 021909Z

OUR SOURCES THINK THAT THIS TIME THERE IS A FAIR CHANCE OF COREPER AGREEING IN PRINCIPLE TO THESE PROPOSALS.

5. ITALY IS THE COUNTRY MOST RELUCTANT TO GO ALONG WITH THE COMMISSION; NOT ONLY DOES IT FACE THE PROSPECT OF LARGE IMPORTS, BUT ALSO ITS INDUSTRY IS CURRENTLY SOMEWHAT DEPRESSED. GERMANY, DESPITE

THE RECENT FAILURE OF SOME TEXTILE FIRMS,
HAS STUCK TO A "LIBERAL" (PRO-COMMISSION
PROPOSALS) LINE. THE UK, WHILE GENERALLY ADVOCATING A LIBERAL LINE, HAS FOUND IT DIFFICULT TO
SWALLOW THE IDEA OF ANY INCREASE IN ITS ALREADY
LARGE TEXTILE IMPORTS FROM INDIA AND PAKISTAN.
OUR COMMISSION SOURCE DESCRIBED THE FRENCH POSITION
AS AN INTERMEDIATE ONE. FRANCE IS PROTECTIVE OF
ITS OWN INTERESTS BUT NOT NECESSARILY OPPOSED TO
THE COMMISSION PROPOSALS.

6. EVEN IF THE COREPER GOES ALONG WITH THE COM-MISSION ON FRIDAY, COUNCIL APPROVAL MUST STILL BE SOUGHT, AND THE EARLIEST THAT THIS CAN BE OBTAINED IS NOVEMBER. THEREFORE, ON THE MOST OPTIMISTIC CALENDAR, NEGOTIATIONS WILL ONLY BEGIN AT THE VERY END OF THIS YEAR. IF THERE ARE FURTHER DELAYS IN OBTAINING A MANDATE, THERE WILL OBVIOUSLY BE DIF-FICULTY IN CONCLUDING NEGOTIATIONS BY MARCH 31, 1975, THE DATE REGARDED AS DEADLINE BY THE COMMUNITY.GREENWALD

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: TEXTILES, TEXTILE INDUSTRY, EXPORTS, NEGOTIATIONS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 02 OCT 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974ECBRI 107666

Document Number: 1974ECBRU07666 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A Film Number: D740279-0179 From: EC BRUSSELS Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19741080/aaaacqpw.tel Line Count: 136 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EUR

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE Original Handling Restrictions: n/a

Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: GENEVA 6067

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 03 APR 2002

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <03 APR 2002 by boyleja>; APPROVED <22 JUL 2002 by golinofr>

**Review Markings:** 

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: EC TEXTILE NEGOTIATIONS

TAGS: ETRD, IN, PK, GATT

To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005